

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,567	MIURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica Lewis	2822

All Participants:

(1) Monica Lewis.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) James Barlow.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 112 rejection was discussed.

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner wanted clarification on the amendment to claim 4. James Barlow stated that support could be found in figures 2 and 3. He stated that the non-insulating portion is the portion where the solder (6) is formed between the insulated portions (2).

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)